



10 April 2018

MR. ARTHUR P. TUGADE
DOTr Secretary & LRTA Chairman
GEN. REYNALDO I. BERROYA
Administrator
LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT AUTHORITY (LRTA)
1st Floor Line 2 Depot
Marcos Highway, Pasig City

RE: VALIDATION RESULT OF THE CY 2016
PERFORMANCE SCORECARD OF LRTA

Dear Sec. Tugade and Administrator Berroya,

This is to formally transmit the validation result of LRTA's 2016 Performance Scorecard. Based on the Governance Commission's validation of documentary submissions and conduct of on-site validation on 11 July 2017, the LRTA gained an over-all score of **77.38%** (See **Annex A**).

In relation to its application for the grant of CY 2016 PBB to eligible officers and employees, the LRTA fails to satisfy the requirements of **GCG MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR (MC) No. 2017-01** and the *Checklist of Documents to be submitted by GOCCs to Qualify for the FY 2016 Performance-Based Bonus (PBB)*, particularly the achievement of a weighted-average score of at least 90% in its 2016 Performance Scorecard. In this regard, the Board is reminded that any unilateral action to release the PBB will be considered a violation of the Board's fiduciary duty to protect the assets of the GOCC as provided under Section 19 of Republic Act No. 10149.¹

Consequently, pursuant to GCG M.C. No. 2014-06, failure to qualify for the PBB means that the Appointive Members of the Governing Board of LRTA shall not be qualified to receive the Performance-Based Incentive (PBI).

FOR YOUR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE.

Very truly yours,

SAMUEL G. DAGPIN, JR.

CHAEL P. CLORIBEL

Commissioner,

MARITES C. DORA

Cc: COA Resident Auditor – LRTA

¹ GOCC Governance Act of 2011

LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT AUTHORITY 2016 Performance Scorecard

INTERNA	L PROCE	SS		STAKEHOLDER	S	\$	OCIAL	OCIAL IMPACT					
SM 3		SO 3		SM 2	SO 2		OM	2	501	Ob			
Commitments under the Concession Agreement	Compliance of Concessionaire to	To Ensure Delivery of Performance by the Private Concessionaire	Sub-total	Customer Satisfaction Improved	Customer Satisfaction Improved	Sub-total	increase in Nidership	la proposition de la contraction de la contracti	Passenger Ridership Increased	Objective/Measure	Perform		
Noncompliance +Total No. of Noncompliance x 100)	Percentage of compliance to Secondary KPIs	Performance by t		Customer Satisfaction Rating 10% - Very Satisfactory 5% - Satisfactory 0% - Dissatisfactory and below	n Improved		(in Millions)	Absolute Figure	Increased	Formula	Performance Measures		
7%		he Privat	10%	10%		0%	8	08/		Weight			
L1: 90%		e Concessiona		L2: Very Satisfactory Rating			L2: 62.71	L1: 140.26		Target			
L1: 97.88%		aire		Very Satisfactory Rating			L2: 66.89	L1: 148.00		Actual	LRTA Submission		
1%	Į.		10%	10%		0%	0%	0%		Rating	mission		
97.88%				Very Satisfactory Rating			L2: 67.00	L1: 148.00		Score	GCG Evaluation		
1%	1		10%	10%		0%	0%	0%		Rating	uation		
Monthly Report	- CY 2016			- CY 2016 Customer Satisfaction Survey			- CY 2016 Passenger Ridership Summary Report for Line 2	- CY 2016 Passenger Ridership Summary Report for Line 1		Documents	Supporting		
citations notice issued.	1,431 rectifications were made			Overall weighted satisfaction is 5.95 out 7. Line 2 lead with highest satisfaction across all other public transportations.			Ridership increased by 4.79 Million or 7.70% from 2015.	Ridership increased by 6.67 Million or 4.72% from 2015.			Remarks		

SM 6	SO 5	SM 5	SO 4	SM 4	ОЬ	
Improve Systems and Facilities	To Increase Efficiency and Reliability of LRT Systems and Processes	Implementation of Line 2 Passenger/Bus Feeder System	To Develop New Business Ventures and Allied Services	Compliance of Line 2 Automated Fare Collection System (AFCS) Concessionaire to Service Level Agreement (SLA)	Objective/Measure	Perform
All or Nothing for Line 1	and Reliability of	Actual implementation	ness Ventures and	Percentage of compliance to SLA provisions (No. of provisions complied + Total number relevant provisions) x 100	Formula	Performance Measures
5%	LRT Sys	7%	Allied S	7%	Weight	
L1: 1 Rail Replacement Project (#277M)	tems and Proc	Delivery of seven (7) units Passenger Buses by 3rd Quarter 2016	ervices	90% Compliance	Target	
L1: 1	esses	Project cancelled		90.06%	Actual	LRTA Submis
5%		1		7%	Rating	nission
L1: 1		N/A		90.06%	Score	GCG Evaluation
5%				7%	Rating	uation
- CY 2016 List of Completed Projects		- Request for Renegotiation		- CY 2016 Report on AFCS - Concessionaires Compliance to Service Level Agreement	Documents	Supporting
Completed in January 2016 with project cost of ₽269.05 Million.		Through a letter dated 21 March 2016, DBM did not favorably grant authority for the purchase of buses as the proposed funding source was intended for the rehabilitation of LRT Lines 1 and 2, hence, cannot be realigned for another purpose such as procurement of motor vehicles not directly connected with rehabilitation of LRT Lines 1 and 2. Through a letter dated 7 October 2017, LRTA instructed PS-DBM to cancel the procurement of the buses. Point-to-Point (P2P) Bus Services was adopted instead, in coordination with DOTr. Measure excluded.		Areas of Responsibilities/ Deliverables include: - Operations - Financial Settlement - Systems - Maintenance - Customer Support		Remarks

S M 8	SM 7		ОЬ	
Reduction of Train Service Interruption	Improve Reliability of Train Service		Objective/Measure	Perform
Average interruption time per incident - (total service time / frequency count)	Headway - Looptime ÷ No. of trains running	No. of projects completed	Formula	Performance Measures
5%	5%	10%	Weight	
Line 2: Less than or equal to 13.37 minutes	L2: 5 minutes	L2: 15 (₽151.93M; total of 15 projects)	Target	
L2:12.33 minutes	L2: 6 Minutes	L2: 5 projects completed	Actual	LRTA Submissi
5%	5%	3.33%	Rating	nission
L2: 12.33 minutes	6 Minutes	L2: 5 projects completed	Score	GCG Evaluation
5%	4%	3.33%	Rating	uation
- CY 2016 Service Interruption - Summary Report for Line 2	- Summary Report of Headway for Line 2	- Certificates of completion - Request for Renegotiation	Documents	Supporting
A total of 148-minute interruption time was recorded, from 12 incidents which occurred during the year.	Based on the 25 October 2016 letter of Carrier Korea, Ltd., it "cannot and will not anymore supply" the spare parts for the 12 train sets required to meet the 5-minute headway. Also, delays were attributed to minimal/ non-participation of prospective bidders.	Targeted projects were requested for renegotiation due to reasons beyond LRTA's control. ² However, delay attendant in the procurement of the projects, most of which started as early as 2015, do not merit exclusion.		Remarks

a. Four (4) projects – Delay in the procurement process as undertaken by PS-DBM (i. Supply and Installation of UPS and Battery Bank at all Line 2 Telecom Equipment Room; ii. Requisition of Trainset Wheels; iii. Turn-out Replacement; and iv. Re-railing Equipment).
b. One (1) project – Delay in the procurement process as undertaken by PS-DBM (i. Supply and Installation of UPS and Battery Bank at all Line 2 Telecom Equipment Room; ii. Requisition of Trainset

b. One (1) project – Delay in the procurement process as undertaken by PS-DBM (i. Supply and Installation of UPS and Battery Bank at all Line 2 Telecom Equipment Room; ii. Requisition of Trainset

b. One (1) project – Delay in the procurement process as undertaken by PS-DBM (i. Supply and Installation of UPS and Battery Bank at all Line 2 Telecom Equipment Room; ii. Requisition of Trainset

Wheels; iii. Turn-out Replacement; and iv. Re-railing Equipment). -

system at mainline stations).

c. Two (2) projects – Cancelled and included in the Line 2 East Extension Project and Line 2 Maintenance Contract (i. Anti-slip compound at the Line 2 stations; ii. Mimic Diagram Video Equipment).

d. Three (3) projects – For re-bidding due to non-participation of bidders and disqualification due to non-compliance with the technical specifications (I Scissor lift and self-propelled articulating boom; ii. Upgrading and installation of UPS System (Phase 2) and Depot Electrical Rooms, iii. Repair of unbonded concrete plinths at LRT Line 2 mainline Phase 2.

F	INANCIAL ST	. STEWARDSHI	IP					
	SM 12	11 SM	SO 6		10 SM	e ws	ОЬ	
Sub-total	Increase in Non-Rail Revenue of Line 2*	Sustain Line 2 Farebox Ratio of Not Less than 1.00	To Sustain Financial Conditions	Sub-total	Establishment of the Integrated Performance Management System	Budget Utilization Rate	Objective/Measure	Perform
	Actual revenue collected *Commercial and advertising space only	Farebox Ratio = Gross Revenue + Operating Expenses Actual revenue	Conditions		Percentage of accomplishment	(Actual award ÷ total approved APP)	Formula	Performance Measures
12%	7%	5%		62%	6%	10%	Weight	
	P 64.99 M (1.5%)	1.00			100% Delivery and installation of Concession Monitoring Module and Corporate Performance Management System Module (including User's Training and User Acceptance Report) by Dec. 2016	100% Excluding multi-year projects and cancelled/ disapproved projects	Target	
	₽ 120.38 M	1.54			delivered for the following modules: - Corporate Performance Management System - Concession Monitoring Module - Materials Management System - Ticket Inventory Management System	67.58%	Actual	LRTA Submission
12%	7%	5%		45.09%	6%	6.76%	Rating	nission
	₽ 120.38 M	1.53			delivered for the following modules: - Corporate Performance Management System - Concession Monitoring Module - Materials Management System - Ticket Inventory Management System	67.58%	Score	GCG Evaluation
72%	7%	5%		41.09%	3%	6.76%	Rating	uation
	- Non-Rail Business Actual Income Report	- COA Audited Financial Statements			- Copy of the Modules - Request for Renegotiation	- CY 2016 BUR Report	Documents	Supporting
X	Target exceeded by 85%.	Gross Revenues -₽1,307 M Operating Expenses - - ₽852 M			A study was undertaken by DOTr and LRTA in coordination with PPP Center on the preparation of a new KPI Manual. The World Bank submitted a proposal, and is currently under study, and is anticipated to effect changes in the TOR of the project. Thus, LRTA in the meantime, developed modules to facilitate performance management.	Total ABC Approved PR/TOR - P332 M Cancelled/Failed Bidding - P127 M Total Awarded - P138 M		Remarks

LEARNING AND GROWTH				
14 S 14	SM 13	S0 7	Obj	
Establishment of Philippine Railway Institute	Competency Model	To be the Center for Railway System Competency and Expertise	Objective/Measure	Perform
Actual Accomplishment	Actual Accomplishment	ailway System Co	Formula	Performance Measures
5%	5%	ompeten	Weight	
Submission of FS, Business Plan and Detailed Plans and Activities to the LRTA Board of Directors by December 2016	Establish baseline	cy and Expertis	Target	
N/A	Competency Profile of all positions in LRTA completed and established	e	Actual	LRTA Submission
	5%		Rating	ission
NA	Competency Profile of all positions in LRTA completed and established		Score	GCG Evaluation
1	5%		Rating	uation
- Request for Renegotiation	- HRM Division Report on Actual Accomplishment for the Establishment of Baseline for Competency Model		Documents	Supporting
Through Special Order No. 171 dated 22 November 2016, LRTA created a Railway Training Center as an interim structure to become a precursor of the Philippine Railway Institute, thereby changing the timeline of activities initially planned in 2015. On-going coordination with TESDA are being conducted for the accreditation of LRTA as a Training Center for Railways. Measure excluded.	Profiles validated during onsite visit.			Remarks

VALIDATED BATING	VALIDATED TOTAL	EXCLUDED WEIGHTS	SCORECARD TOTAL	Sub-total	Implementation of the SM Approved Reorganizational Plan (RP) Actual Submission	SO 9 To Establish an Effective and Responsive Organization	Objective/Measure Forr	
	88%	(12%)	100%	16%	ubmission 6%	sponsive Organizat	Formula Weight	
(68.09%/					Submission of Proposed RP to the GCG by April 2016	lion	Target	
(68.09% / 88%) = 77.38%					No submission to the GCG For further study as per instructions of the LRTA BOD		Actual	LK I A Subillission
J			68.76%	5%	ï		Rating	nission
					No submission		Score	GCG Evaluation
			68.09%	5%	0%		Rating	ration
					- Request for Renegotiation		Documents	Supporting
					Based on Minutes of Meeting dated 29 November 2016, LRTA Board resolved to "defer consideration of the proposed reorganization of the LRTA pending determination by the DOTr of policy direction on what respective roles the various rail agencies will have and undertake."			Remarks